

Revised Geoprobe locations Montfort, Guy to: Shari Kolak

12/10/2012 12:41 PM

Hide Details

From: "Montfort, Guy" < Guy. Montfort@tetratech.com>

To: Shari Kolak/R5/USEPA/US@EPA,

1 Attachment



SCOPING SUM Geoprobe GW Proposed 12-10-12.pdf

Hi Shari – after giving it some thought I added a few more locations and moved a few others. Some of these are where we are planning for shallow wells but they might also allow us to eliminate a couple of shallow wells, or at a minimum that we are positioning them correctly. I put them on the GW plume map just for you to see as it is less cluttered. It also may not be possible to do a couple of these – i.e. the one next to the Church addition on Walnut, but if we can get at least one data point on that side of the street to make absolutely sure that is the source, I think it would be good. I put an additional geoprobe location way down East Main – almost 2 blocks downgradient from where we got the nondetect in phase I and past where we think the "ND" line is that will be marked with a shallow well, just to make sure we are downgradient far enough with the proposed well location.

This will probably take 2.5 days in the field with the Geoprobe.

Let me know what you think – a couple of the locations are easy to miss as they are way over on the right hand side of the map – there should be 13 total.

Guy

